

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/619,059	07/14/2003		Jim T. Huff JR.	S-815	6894
2071	7590	06/28/2004		EXAM	INER
SIEBERTH & PATTY				PEZZUTO, ROBERT ERIC	
2924 BRAKLEY DRIVE SUITE A 1 BATON ROUGE, LA 70816			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2.1101,110	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			3671	-

DATE MAILED: 06/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		$\gamma \mid c$				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/619,059	HUFF, JIM T.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert E Pezzuto	3671				
The MAILING DATE of this communic	cation appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOTHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communified the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30). If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states a specified above, the maximum states are reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a unication. ) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thin tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON will, by statute, cause the application to become At	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on					
,	b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
<i>'</i> —						
closed in accordance with the practic	e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	e williami nom consideration.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.	· · ·					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	•					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  D) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any object						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim f a) All b) Some * c) None of:	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	documents have been received in A	Application No.				
<del></del>	of the priority documents have been					
•	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action		received.				
	·					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	[]	s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or I Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20040130.	PTO/SB/08) 5) 1 Notice of 1 6) 1 Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/619,059

Art Unit: 3671

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the use of the phrase "is (are also) disclosed" on lines 2 and 8. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/619,059

Art Unit: 3671

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mason '741 in view of Orman et al. '738. Mason discloses an apparatus for processing sugar cane (figures 1-27), the apparatus including a treatment process (as seen in figure 5), the process having pressurized spray nozzles 47 for dispensing fluids to the cane. Further, Mason shows (as seen in figures 5 and 6) the fluid being dispensed while the cane passes through chutes 5 but fails to show the fluid being a biocide. However, Orman teaches that it is well known to treat such crops with such a biocide (figures 1-3). Further, Orman teaches the various pumps, nozzles and controllers which are employed in concert (as seen in figures 2 and 3) with such a device. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the device of Mason with the teachings of Orman to provide a cane harvester having greater operational range.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert E Pezzuto whose telephone number is (703) 308-1012. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 am to 5:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/619,059

Art Unit: 3671

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B Will can be reached on (703) 308-3870. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert E Pezzuno June 21, 2004